

Non-Hermiticity and Universality

Pragya Shukla*

Department of Physics, Indian Institute of Technology, Kharagpur, India.

We study the statistical properties of the eigenvalues of non-Hermitian operators associated with the dissipative complex systems. By considering the Gaussian ensembles of such operators, a hierarchical relation between the correlators is obtained. Further the eigenvalues are found to behave like particles moving on a complex plane under 2-body (inverse square) and 3-body interactions and there seems to underlie a deep connection and universality in the spectral behaviour of different complex systems.

PACS numbers: 05.45+b, 03.65 sq, 05.40+j

The random non-Hermitian operators play a significant role in the dynamics of variety of complex systems e.g. dissipative quantum systems [1], chaotic quantum scattering [2], neural network dynamics [3], statistical mechanics of flux lines in superconductors with columnar disorder [4], classical diffusion in random media [5], biological growth problems [6]. The study of the statistical properties of their eigenvalues and eigenvectors therefore is relevant and has been of great interest in recent past.

The random nature of a quantum operator reveals itself through a distribution of values for each of its matrix elements. The type of the distribution depends on the complexity of the system, resulting in a variety of non-Hermitian random matrices (NHRM) for various dissipative cases. In this letter, we develop a technique to study the spectral properties of the Gaussian ensembles of NHRM. The technique is based on a mapping of the eigenvalue distribution of a general NHRM to a non-stationary state of a classically integrable Hamiltonian. The latter, a variant of Calogero-Sutherland (CS) Hamiltonian in two dimension, is a generator of the dynamics of N particles interacting via long-ranged two body and three body interactions and confined by a harmonic oscillator potential [7,8]. A similar technique has already been applied to Hermitian operators which maps the eigenvalue distribution to a state of the CS Hamiltonian in one dimension [9]; the known particle correlators for the latter case are then used to determine the eigenvalue correlations. A detailed knowledge of the non-stationary states of the 2-dimensional Calogero system can therefore be useful in dealing with a variety of NHRM.

As well-known, the CS Hamiltonian is a fully integrable system with particles evolving in an ordered way with respect to time [10]; this implies a strong correlation between various particle states at different times. Our mapping thus reveals a very interesting feature of the eigenvalues of the operators associated with both conservative and dissipative dynamics. The eigenvalues evolve in a highly ordered, correlated way as the degree or the nature of the complexity changes. This implies a connection between the statistical nature of the eigenvalues of two different complex systems. As the nature of the interaction in the corresponding CS system is $1/r^2$ type, 1-dimensional for conservative and 2-dimensional for dissipative systems, regardless of the nature of the complexity, a great deal of universality among the physical properties (those related to eigenvalue correlations) of complex systems seems to be present. The universality in the eigenvalue statistics of the operators in the regime of weak non-Hermiticity was indicated by another study too [11].

We consider an ensemble of $N \times N$ non-Hermitian matrices H defined by a Gaussian measure $\tilde{\rho}(H)$ where $\tilde{\rho}(H, y) \propto \exp[-\sum_{s=1}^{\beta} \sum_{k,l} (y_{kl;s} H_{kl;s}^2 + x_{kl;s} H_{kl;s} H_{lk;s})] = C\rho(H)$ with C as the normalization constant, y and x related to variances and covariances of various matrix elements. Here the subscript s on a variable refers to one of its components, i.e real ($s = 1$) or imaginary ($s = 2$) part, with β as total number of the components. The above choice of ρ is made so as to include a large class of NHRM ensembles (for example $y_{kl} = N/(1 - \tau^2)$, $x_{kl;s} = x_{lk;s} = (-1)^{s-1} \tau N/(1 - \tau^2)$ give GUE ($\tau = 1$), Ginibre ($\tau = 0$) and the ensemble of complex anti-symmetric matrices, referred as GASE later on, $\tau = -1$ [12]; see [13] for the eigenvalue statistics for the cases with $0 \leq \tau \leq 1$).

A non-Hermitian matrix can be diagonalized by a transformation of the type $\Lambda = UHV$ with Λ as the matrix of eigenvalues λ_j and U and V as the left and right eigenvector matrices respectively. Let us first consider the case of an ensemble of non-Hermitian complex matrices ($\beta = 2$). Here the eigenvalues $\lambda_j \equiv \sum_{r=1}^2 (i)^{r-1} \lambda_{jr}$, in general, are distributed over an area in the complex plan. Let $\tilde{P}(z, y)$ be the probability of finding eigenvalues λ_i of H between z_i and $z_i + dz_i$ at a given y ,

$$\tilde{P}(z, y) = C \int \prod_{i=1}^N \delta(z_i - \lambda_i) \delta(z_i^* - \lambda_i^*) \tilde{\rho}(H, y) dH = CP \quad (1)$$

with P as the unnormalized distribution: $P(z, y) = \int f(z, z^*) \rho(H, y) dH$ with $f(z, z^*) = \prod_{i=1}^N \delta(z_i - \lambda_i) \delta(z_i^* - \lambda_i^*)$.

The degree of difficulty associated with solving the integral eq.(1) motivates us to seek another route. We attempt to obtain an evolution equation for $P(z, y)$ for the eigenvalues moving on the complex plane due to changing distribution parameters. As in the Hermitian case [9], we consider a combination of the rates of change of P in the parametric space, namely the sum S , $S \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{\beta} \sum_{k,l} (\gamma + (-1)^s x_{kl;s}) \left[y_{kl;s} \frac{\partial P}{\partial y_{kl;s}} + x_{kl;s} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_{kl;s}} \right]$, and attempt to express it in terms of the rates of changes of P in the eigenvalue space. (The reason behind the choice of sum S is that it can be reduced to the Schrodinger equation for CS Hamiltonian). This would require a knowledge of the rates of change of the eigenvalues as well as the eigenvectors due to a small change in the matrix element H_{kl} which can be given as follows,

$$\frac{\partial \lambda_n}{\partial H_{kl;s}} = i^{s-1} U_{nk} V_{ln}, \quad \frac{\partial^2 \lambda_n}{\partial H_{kl;s}^2} = \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \lambda_m} \frac{\partial \lambda_m}{\partial H_{kl;s}} \frac{\partial \lambda_n}{\partial H_{kl;s}} \quad (2)$$

$$\frac{\partial U_{nr}}{\partial H_{kl;s}} = i^{s-1} \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \lambda_m} U_{mr} U_{nk} V_{lm}, \quad (3)$$

$$\frac{\partial V_{rn}}{\partial H_{kl;s}} = i^{s-1} \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \lambda_m} U_{mk} V_{ln} V_{rm}, \quad (4)$$

$$\sum_{k,l} \sum_{s=1}^{\beta} \frac{\partial \lambda_n}{\partial H_{kl;s}} H_{kl;s} = \sum_{k,l} H_{kl} U_{nk} V_{ln} = \lambda_n, \quad (5)$$

$$\sum_{s=1}^{\beta} \frac{\partial \lambda_n}{\partial H_{kl;s}} \frac{\partial \lambda_m}{\partial H_{lk;s}} = \beta \delta_{mn} \quad (6)$$

$$\sum_{s=1}^{\beta} \frac{\partial^2 \lambda_n}{\partial H_{kl;s} \partial H_{lk;s}} = 2\beta \sum_m \frac{1}{\lambda_n - \lambda_m} \quad (7)$$

The parametric-dependence of P in sum S enters only through $\rho(H)$ and as $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial y_{kl;s}} = -H_{kl;s}^2 \rho$, $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial x_{kl;s}} = -H_{kl;s} H_{lk;s} \rho$, $\frac{\partial \rho}{\partial H_{kl;s}} = -2(y_{kl;s} H_{kl;s} + x_{kl;s} H_{lk;s})$ with $\left[\frac{\partial \lambda_n}{\partial H_{kl;s}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda_n} + \frac{\partial \lambda_n^*}{\partial H_{kl;s}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial \lambda_n^*} \right] = -2 \sum_{r=1}^2 \frac{\partial \lambda_{nr}}{\partial H_{kl;s}} \frac{\partial f}{\partial z_{nr}}$, the sum S can be written as follows

$$S = \sum_{s=1}^{\beta} \left[\gamma \sum_{k,l} I_{kl;s} + (-1)^s \sum_{k,l} x_{kl;s} I_{kl;s} \right] - C_1 P \quad (8)$$

where $I_{kl;s} = \sum_{r=1}^2 \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{nr}} \int f \frac{\partial \lambda_{nr}}{\partial H_{kl;s}} H_{kl;s} \rho dH$, $C_1 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^{\beta} \sum_{k,l} (\gamma + (-1)^s x_{kl;s})$ and r referring to the components of the eigenvalues. With the help of eq.(5), the first term on the right hand side of eq.(8) can further be simplified, $\sum_{s=1}^{\beta} \sum_{k,l} I_{kl;s} = \sum_{n,r} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{nr}} (z_{nr} P)$. By using eqs.(6,7), the second term can also be rewritten as follows:

$$\sum_s (-1)^s \sum_{k,l} x_{kl;s} I_{kl;s} = \frac{\partial^2 P}{\partial z_{nr}^2} - 2 \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{nr}} \left(\frac{\partial \ln |\Delta(z)|}{\partial z_{nr}} P \right) - G \quad (9)$$

where $G = \sum_s (-1)^s \sum_{k,l} \left[y_{kl;s} y_{lk;s} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_{kl;s}} + x_{kl;s} y_{lk;s} \frac{\partial P}{\partial y_{lk;s}} \right]$ and $\Delta_N(z) = \prod_{j < k}^N (z_j - z_k)$. A substitution of eq.(9) in eq.(8) will now give the sum $S_1 \equiv S + G + C_1 P$, a combination of various parametric derivatives, in terms of the eigenvalue derivatives of P ,

$$S_1 = \sum_{r=1}^2 \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{nr}} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{nr}} - \beta \frac{\partial \ln |\Delta_N(z)|}{\partial z_{nr}} + \gamma z_{nr} \right] P \quad (10)$$

However the sum S_1 , on the other hand, can also be expressed as a derivative of P with respect to a single parameter $Y \equiv Y(y_{kl;s}, x_{kl;s})$ where Y is a function of all $y_{kl;s}$ and $x_{kl;s}$, given by the condition that

$$S_1 \equiv \sum_{s=1}^{\beta} \sum_{k,l} \left[A_{kl;s} \frac{\partial P}{\partial y_{lk;s}} + B_{kl;s} \frac{\partial P}{\partial x_{kl;s}} \right] + C_1 P = \frac{\partial P}{\partial Y} + C_1 P = \frac{1}{C_2} \frac{\partial P_1}{\partial Y} \quad (11)$$

where $A_{kl;s} = y_{kl;s}[\gamma + 2(-1)^s x_{lk;s}]$ and $B_{kl;s} = [\gamma x_{kl;s} + (-1)^s x_{kl;s} x_{lk;s} + (-1)^s y_{kl;s} y_{lk;s}]$, $C_2 = e^{\int C_1 dY}$ and $P_1 = C_2 P$. The form of Y , fulfilling the desired condition, can therefore be obtained by solving following equations [9] (for all k, l and s values):

$$\frac{dy_{kl;s}}{A_{kl;s}} = \frac{dx_{kl;s}}{B_{kl;s}} = \frac{dY}{1} \quad (12)$$

which gives $Y = (1/N^2) \sum_{k,l} \sum_{s=1}^{\beta} F(y_{kl;s}) + Y_0$ with Y_0 given by the initial conditions. Here $F(y_{kl;s}) = \pm \int dy_{kl;s} (y_{kl;s} \sqrt{W})^{-1} = \ln \left[\frac{y_{kl;s}}{2(\gamma^2 + 2(-1)^s \tilde{c}_{kl;s} y_{kl;s} + \gamma \sqrt{W})} \right]$ with $W = \gamma^2 + 4y_{kl;s}(c_{kl;s} y_{kl;s} + (-1)^s \tilde{c}_{kl;s})$ and constants $c_{kl;s}$ and $\tilde{c}_{kl;s}$ given by relations $y_{lk;s} = c_{kl;s} y_{kl;s}$ and $x_{kl;s}^2 + (-1)^s \gamma x_{kl;s} - c_{kl;s} y_{kl;s}^2 - (-1)^s \tilde{c}_{kl;s} y_{kl;s} = 0$.

Here various $y_{kl;s}$ being indicators of the complexity of the system, Y can be termed as a complexity parameter. Now, by comparing the two forms of S_1 , the evolution of eigenvalues in terms of the parameter Y can be obtained

$$\frac{\partial P_1}{\partial Y} = \sum_{r=1}^2 \sum_{n=1}^N \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{nr}} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_{nr}} - \beta \frac{\partial \ln |\Delta_N(z)|}{\partial z_{nr}} + \gamma z_{nr} \right] P_1 \quad (13)$$

with $\beta = 2$ and P_1 is related to the normalized distribution by $\tilde{P} = CP_1/C_2$. Note the analogy of the above equation to that of Hermitian case [9] but the evolution is now occurring on a complex plane.

..

The steady state of eq.(13), $P_s \equiv |Q_N|^2 = \prod_{j < k} |\Delta_N(z)|^2 e^{-\frac{\gamma}{2} \sum_k |z_k|^2}$, corresponds to $\frac{\partial P}{\partial Y} \rightarrow 0$ which can occur when almost all $y_{kl;s} \rightarrow N/(1 - \tau^2)$ and almost all $x_{kl;s} \rightarrow (-1)^s N\tau/(1 - \tau^2)$ with $\tau \rightarrow 0, \pm 1$. Here each τ value leads to a different steady state, namely, GBE ($\tau = 0$) GUE ($\tau = 1$) and GASE ($\tau = -1$) with the distribution P_s representing all the three cases. Note P_s in each case agrees well with the nature of the matrix H for these limits which is complex for $\tau = 0$, complex hermitian for $\tau = 1$ (therefore real eigenvalues) and complex antisymmetric for $\tau = -1$ (thus eigenvalues in equal and opposite pairs).

The eq.(13) describes a transition from a given initial ensemble (with $Y = Y_0$) to either GBE, GUE or GASE with $Y - Y_0$ as the transition parameter. The nonequilibrium states of these transitions, given by non-zero finite values of $Y - Y_0$, are various ensembles of the complex matrices corresponding to varying values of y_{kl} 's and x_{kl} 's thus modelling different complex systems. Note the eq.(13) for $P_1 \equiv P_1(\mu, Y | \mu_0, Y_0)$ has been obtained for arbitrary initial conditions, say $P_1(\mu_0, Y_0)$; the eigenvalue distribution $P_1(\mu, Y) = \int P_1(\mu, Y | \mu_0, Y_0) P_1(\mu_0, Y_0)$ of a given RNHE can therefore be found by solving the eq.(13) by using a convenient initial ensemble. Just as in the Hermitian case, the "convenience" depends on mathematical tractability of the integrals as well as on involved physics [9].

The case of Non-Hermitian real matrices ($\beta = 1$) can similarly be treated. Here eigenvalues are either real or form complex conjugate pairs and therefore if U_n is an eigenvector corresponding to the complex eigenvalue λ_n , its complex conjugate will correspond to an eigenvector U_n^* . Consider the case with L real and M complex conjugate pairs of the eigenvalues with $N = L + 2M$. The rates of change of the eigenvalues and the eigenvectors are still given by eqs. (2-7) with $H_{kl;1} \equiv H_{kl}$. The distribution P in this case can be described by $P = \int \prod_{j=1}^N f(z, z^*) g(z, z^*) \rho(H) dH$ with $f(z, z^*) = \prod_{j=1}^L \delta(\mu_j - z_j) \delta(\mu_j - z_j^*)$, $g(z, z^*) = \prod_{j=L+1}^{L+M} \delta(\mu_j - z_j) \delta(\mu_j^* - z_{j+M}) \delta(\mu_{j+M} - z_j^*) \delta(\mu_{j+M}^* - z_j)$. (As obvious, here first L eigenvalues are chosen to be real and rest of them complex conjugate). Proceeding similarly as for the complex case, using eqs.(2-7) and equalities $\frac{\partial f g}{\partial H_{kl}} = - \sum_{n=1}^{L+2M} \frac{\partial(z_n f g)}{\partial \mu_n}$, $\frac{\partial^2 f g}{\partial H_{kl} \partial H_{lk}} = - \sum_{n=1}^{L+2M} \frac{\partial}{\partial \mu_n} \left(\frac{\partial f g}{\partial \mu_n} + \sum_{m \neq n} \frac{f g}{z_m - z_n} \right)$, one obtains the following

$$\frac{\partial P_1}{\partial Y} = \sum_{n=1}^{L+2M} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_n} \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial z_n} - \beta \frac{\partial \ln |\Delta(z)|}{\partial z_n} + \gamma z_n \right] P_1 \quad (14)$$

where Y is still given by the eq.(11) with $\beta = 1$ ($y_{kl;1} \equiv y_{kl}$ and $x_{kl;1} \equiv x_{kl}$); $Y = \frac{1}{N^2} \sum_{k,l} F(y_{kl})$ with $F(y_{kl}) = \int dy_{kl} (y_{kl} \sqrt{W})^{-1} = \ln \left[\frac{y_{kl}}{2(\gamma - 2\tilde{c}_{kl} y_{kl} + \gamma \sqrt{W})} \right]$, $W = \gamma^2 + 4y_{kl}(c_{kl} y_{kl} - \tilde{c}_{kl})$ and c_{kl} and $\tilde{c}_{kl}$ given by relations $y_{lk} = c_{kl} y_{kl}$ and $x_{kl}^2 - \gamma x_{kl} - c_{kl} y_{kl}^2 + \tilde{c}_{kl} y_{kl} = 0$. The steady state again occurs for $\tau = 0, \pm 1$ with solution of eq.(14) is $P = P_s = |\Delta_N(z)| \left[\prod_{i=1}^N e^{-\gamma z_i^2} \text{erfc}(z_i - z_i^*) \right]^{1/2}$. The distribution $P(z; \tau = 0, \pm 1)$ is in agreement with the results obtained in [14] by a different method.

The eq.(13) for $P(\mu, Y)$ can be used to obtain n^{th} order density correlator $R_n(z_1, \dots, z_n; Y)$, defined by $R_n = \frac{N!}{(N-n)!} \int P(z, Y) dz_{n+1} \dots dz_N$ with $dz_n \equiv dz_{n1} dz_{n2}$. The similar forms of the equations for P , in Hermitian and

non-Hermitian case, result in the same for the equations for R_n too [9]. Again a direct integration of F-P equation (13) leads to the hierarchic relations among R_n

$$\frac{\partial R_n}{\partial Y} = \sum_{r=1}^2 \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\frac{\partial^2 R_n}{\partial z_{jr}^2} - \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{jr}} \left(\frac{R_n \partial \ln |Q_N|}{\partial z_{nr}} \right) - \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{jr}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz_{n+1} R_{n+1} \frac{\partial \ln |z_j - z_{n+1}|}{\partial z_{jr}} \right] \quad (15)$$

For real applications, it is important to consider the limit $N \rightarrow \infty$ for fixed n . For $\rho(z) = N^{-1} R_1(z)$ which fixes the scale for the eigenvalue fluctuations, the large N limit of eq.(15), gives the following form (with $z = Ne$)

$$\frac{\partial \rho(e)}{\partial Y} = \sum_{r=1}^2 \frac{\partial}{\partial e_r} \left(\gamma e_r - 2\mathbf{P} \int de' \rho(e') \frac{e_r - e'_r}{|e - e'|^2} \right) \rho(e) \quad (16)$$

where $\mathbf{P}$ refers to the principle part of the integral. The eq.(16) is valid under the similar approximations as in the Hermitian case, that is, by neglecting contributions from the terms containing 2nd order cluster functions (see page 142 of [15], also [16]) and the diffusion term; both are of the order of N^2 or lower.

For $n > 1$, the correlators should be unfolded (that is, a rescaling of eigenvalues to result in a unit mean spacing) as follows: $R_n(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_n; \Lambda) = \text{Lim } N \rightarrow \infty \frac{R_n(z_1, \dots, z_n; Y)}{R_1(z_1; Y) \dots R_1(z_n; Y)}$ with $\zeta = \int^\zeta R_1(z; Y) dz$. Then, for large N and fixed Y , the left hand side of eq.(15) is $O(R_1^n)$ while the right hand side is $O(R_1^{n+2})$. The transition therefore takes place for finite values of $Y R_1^2$ and a smooth transition can only be seen in terms of a parameter $\Lambda = (Y - Y_0)/D^2$ ($D = R_1^{-1}$; the mean level spacing) [16]. Assuming that for $Y = Y_0$, ρ is not singular (nor zero) and the R_n are well defined, the ρ , given by eq.(16), remains nearly unchanged for finite Λ . Keeping only $O(R_1^{n+2})$ terms, the eq.(15) can then be reduced to following form (see page 145 of [15])

$$\frac{\partial R_n}{\partial \Lambda} = \sum_{r=1}^b \sum_{j=1}^n \left[\frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_{jr}} |\Delta_n|^\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_{jr}} \frac{R_n}{|\Delta_n|^\beta} - \beta \frac{\partial}{\partial \zeta_{jr}} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} d\zeta_{n+1} R_{n+1} \frac{\partial \ln |\zeta_j - \zeta_{n+1}|}{\partial \zeta_{jr}} \right] \quad (17)$$

here $b = 2$ (for simplification, γ is chosen to be unity). The above equation is obtained from eq.(15) by neglecting the linear drift of the eigenvalues which is dominated, by a factor N , by their diffusion and mutual repulsion. In fact the linear restoring force, responsible for the global behaviour ρ of the density of levels is entirely negligible on scales at which local fluctuations occur. On the other hand, the diffusion is ineffective on the global scale (see eq.(16)). As discussed above, the transition for R_n occurs on the scales determined by $Y \approx D^2$, while, for R_1 , the corresponding scale is given by $Y \approx N D^2$. This indicates a clear separation of the scales of the global and local behaviour of the density. (It is worth noting here the similarity of eq.(17) to its Hermitian counterpart; however it does not imply the similarity of correlations, the former being on the complex plane). The hierarchical equation of correlations for the real assymetric case can be obtained by integrating eq.(14) which will again lead to a relation similar to eq.(17) but now $\beta = 1$, $\sum_r$ to be dropped and ζ_{jr} replaced by ζ_j .

For $n = 2$ and small values of ζ , the integral term in eq.(17) makes a negligible contribution thus leading to following approximated closed form equation for $R_2(r \equiv \zeta_2 - \zeta_1)$ (with $r = r_1 + ir_2$)

$$\frac{\partial R_2}{\partial \Lambda} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{s=1}^2 \left[\frac{\partial^2 R_2}{\partial r_s^2} - \frac{\partial}{\partial r_s} \left(R_2 \frac{\partial \ln |r|^2}{\partial r_s} \right) \right] \quad (18)$$

which gives $R_2(r) \approx |r|^2$ for small- r ; for large r behaviour, it may be easier to consider the fourier transform of eq.(17). The hierarchic equation can then be used to obtain an approximate form of the higher order correlations. For example, the approximate information about R_3 may be extracted by a substitution of large and small r behaviour of R_2 in eq.(15) with $n = 2$.

An alternative route to obtain correlations is by exploiting the connection of eq.(13) to CS Hamiltonian. This can be shown by using the transformation $\Psi = P/|Q_N|^{\beta/2}$ in eq.(13) reducing it in a form $\frac{\partial \Psi}{\partial Y} = -\hat{H}\Psi$ where the 'Hamiltonian' $\hat{H}$ turns out to be the CS Hamiltonian in two dimensions (for simplification take $\gamma = 1$).

$$\hat{H} = - \sum_i \frac{\partial^2}{\partial r_i^2} + g \sum_{i,j;i < j} \frac{1}{r_{ij}^2} + G \sum_{i,j,k;i < j, i, j \neq k} \frac{\mathbf{r}_{ki} \cdot \mathbf{r}_{kj}}{r_{ki}^2 r_{kj}^2} + \sum_i r_i^2 \quad (19)$$

with $\mathbf{r}_i \equiv z_i$, $\mathbf{r}_{ki} \equiv z_k - z_i$ and $r_{ki} \equiv |\mathbf{r}_{ki}|$. Here $G = g$ (with $g = 1$ for NHRM case with all real eigenvalues and $g = 2$ for the complex NHRM) and, unlike the complex Hermitian case ($G = 0, g = 1$), the inverse square term does

not drop out for the complex non-Hermitian case. Further as $Y \rightarrow \infty$, the particles are in their ground state ψ with a distribution ψ_0^2 . As the mapping between the eigenvalues and particles requires $\psi_0^2 = P_s$ which is possible if the particles are considered as bosons. The bosonic radial eigenstate and the eigenvalues of the Hamiltonian are well known, $\psi_n = \prod_{j < k}^N |r_i - r_j|^\Lambda e^{-\frac{1}{2} \sum_k |r_k|^2} L_n$ with L_n as Laguerre's polynomial and energy $E_n = [4n + N(N-1)\Lambda + 2N]/2N$, $\Lambda = \sqrt{G/2}$ [8]. The "state" ψ or $P(\mu, Y|\mu_0, Y_0)$ can then formally be expressed as a sum over the eigenvalues and eigenfunctions which on integration over the initial state $P(\mu_0, Y_0)$ would lead to the joint probability distribution $P(\mu, Y)$ and thereby static (at a single parameter value) density correlations R_n . The above correspondence can also be used to map the multi-parametric correlations of levels to multi-time correlations of the particle-positions [9]. Although the explicit calculations of correlations, involves technical handling of various integrals and is still an unfinished task, nonetheless our study reveals an important connection. The level correlations of different complex systems need not be studied separately, a thorough probing of the particle correlations of CS will give all the required information. The CS system being integrable in nature, the semiclassical techniques can also be very successful for the probing.

The reasons for the correspondence between Gaussian NHRM and CS Hamiltonian are worth paying attention. Note the analogy with harmonic oscillator type confining potential in the CS system results from the Gaussian nature of the ensemble. The correspondence with $1/r^2$ term comes from the mutual repulsion between eigenvalues. Its mathematical origin lies in the transformation from matrix space to eigenvalue-eigenvector space which is same for all the non-hermitian ensembles (belonging to same symmetry class irrespective of matrix element distribution). It should be possible, therefore, to map the non-Gaussian NHRM also to a variant of CS Hamiltonian, although with a different type of confining potential. For $\rho(H) \propto e^{-f(H)}$, the correspondence can be shown by following the similar steps as used for the Hermitian case [9].

In this paper, we have studied the statistical properties of the eigenvalues of non-Hermitian systems. We find that the evolution of the eigenvalues is governed by an equation in which system-dependence enters only through the evolution parameter $Y - Y_0$ related to complexity of the system. It is possible that widely different systems with different values of the distribution parameters may share a same $Y - Y_0$ -value. Such systems will thus have similar statistical features which indicates an underlying universality in the distribution of the eigenvalues of non-hermitian operators. Furthermore as the eigenvalue distribution for each complex system appears as a general state of CS system, any two such states, for example $\psi(Y_1)$ and $\psi(Y_2)$, being related by "time" evolution operator $U(Y_2, Y_1)$, the eigenvalue distributions of the complex systems corresponding to Y_1 and Y_2 will also be connected. This would also reflect in their physical properties based on spectral fluctuations e.g conductance (assuming existence of ergodicity, that is, ensemble averages same as spectral averages).

A detailed investigation of CS hamiltonian in arbitrary dimension can therefore give a lot of useful information about variety of complex systems and is therefore very much desirable.

-
- [1] F.Haake et al., Z.Phys. B, 88, 359, (1992).
 - [2] Y.V.Fyodorov and H.-J. Sommers, J. Math. Physics (N.Y.), 38, 1918, (1997).
 - [3] H.J.Sommers, A.Crisanti, H.Sompolinsky and Y.Stein, Phys. Rev. Lett., 60, 1895 (1988).
 - [4] N.Hatano and D.R.Nelson, Phys. Rev. Lett. 77, 570 (1996); K.B.Efetov, Phys Rev. B 56 9630 (1997); I.Y.Glodsheid and B.A.Khoruzhenko, Phys. Rev. Lett., 80, 2897 (1998); C.Mudry, B.D.Simons and A.Altland, Phys. Rev. Lett., 80, 4257 (1998).
 - [5] J.T.Chalker and Z.J.Wang, Phys. Rev. Lett. 79, 1797, (1997).
 - [6] D.R.Nelson and N.M.Shnerb, cond-mat/9708071.
 - [7] Calogero and Marchiero, J. Math.Phys. 14, 182, (1973).
 - [8] A.Khare, Phys. Lett. A, 245, 14, (1998); A.Khare and Koushik Ray, Phys. Lett. A, 230, 139, (1997).
 - [9] P.Shukla, Phys. Rev. E 62, 2098, (2000).
 - [10] F.Calogero, J. Math. Phys., 10, 2191, 2197 (1969). B.Sutherland, J. Math. Phys., 12, 246, (1971); 12, 252, (1971); Phys. Rev. A, 4, 2019, (1971); 5, 1372, (1972).
 - [11] Y.V.Fyodorov and B.A.Khoruzhenko, Ann. Inst. Henri Poincare (Physique Theorique), 68, 449, (1998).
 - [12] J.T.Chalker and B.Mehlig, Phys. Rev. Lett. 81, 3367, (1998).
 - [13] Y.V.Fyodorov, B.A.Khoruzhenko and H.-J. Sommers, Phys. Rev. Lett., 79, 557, (1997); Y.V.Fyodorov and B.A.Khoruzhenko, Phys. Rev. Lett., 83, 65, (1999).
 - [14] Nils Lehmann and H-j Sommers, Phys. Rev. Lett. 67, 941, (1991).

- [15] F.Haake, **Quantum Signature of Chaos**, Springer, Berlin (1991).
- [16] A.Pandey and P.Shukla, J. Phys. A, (1991).
- [17] * E-Mail : Shukla@phy.iitkgp.ernet.in